FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE.

REVIEW PETITION NO.20 OF 2002
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.105 OF 2002

Office Notes,Office Memoranda of Coram, appearnaces,Courts's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	:	Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.

DATED: 25th February, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Sathaye, the learned advocate for the petitioner.

2. The Second Appeal preferred by the Review Petitioner has been summarily dismissed.

3. The contention raised by Shri Sathaye, the learned advocate for petitioner is that authorities under the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act have held that the Review Petitioner/Appellant is tenant in respect of the 1/4th portion of the land and the

Civil Court was not precluded from considering the case made out by the Review Petitioner that he is in possession of the remaining 3/4th portion of the land.

4. In my view, there is no error apparent on the face of record. There is a concurrent finding of the Courts below on the aspect of possession. There is no merit in the review petition. Review Petition is dismissed with no order as to costs.

JUDGE